

## UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/461,709 12/14/99 BARCLAY М 2997-1-3-2 **EXAMINER** HM22/0214 DON D CHA WARE D ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SHERIDAN ROSS P C 1560 BROADWAY SUTIE 1200 DENVER CO 80202-5141 1651 **DATE MAILED:** 02/14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Office Action Summary	Application, No. Applicant Parclay	
	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	Was	re 1651
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address-
Period for Reply		•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET DF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta</li> </ul>	reply within the statutory mini It, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr	imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication .
Status /		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1-00	
☐ This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19		
Disposition of Claims		•
Claim(s) 38-93		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)	· · ·	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)		·
20.00		are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers		requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	ng Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	•	☐ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	cted to by the Examiner.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
The oath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of t	• ,	• • •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of the CERTIFIED copies of the received.	f the priority documents	have been
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of t	f the priority documents	have been
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	of the priority documents ber) ternational Bureau (PCT	Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Interest of	of the priority documents ber) ternational Bureau (PCT	Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numerice	of the priority documents ber) ternational Bureau (PCT	Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number 1 received in this national stage application from the Interest *Certified copies not received:  **Attachment(s)	the priority documents ber) ternational Bureau (PCT	have been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/461,709

Art Unit: 1651

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 38-52, drawn to a process for producing lipids using a specific set of conditions different from Groups II-III, classified in class 435, subclass 243.
  - II. Claims 53-66, drawn to a process for producing lipids using a specific set of conditions different from Group I and III, classified in class 435, subclass 257.1.
  - III. Claims 67-81, drawn to a process for producing lipids using a specific set of conditions different from Groups I-II, classified in class 536, subclass 1.11.
  - IV. Claims 82-88, drawn to a food product, classified in class 426, subclass 7.
  - V. Claims 89-93, drawn to a nutrient supplement, classified in class 424, subclass 439.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I-V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions because the process steps of each of Groups I-III do not require the same initial process step for fermentation and thus, they have different modes of operation, different functions and perhaps different effects in that the yield of lipid products may be different.
- 3. Inventions I-III and IV-V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as

Art Unit: 1651

claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the products as claimed can be made by another and materially different process wherein lipid ingredients may be obtained by different process steps. Further, Groups IV-V are different in that Group IV does not require any other additional ingredients.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Also because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Furthermore, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one Group is not required for another Group the restriction is deemed proper.

  A telephone call was made to Mr. Cha on February 13, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CAR 1.143).

Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1651

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah K. Ware whose telephone number is (703) 308-4245.

DEBORAH K. WARE PATENT EXAMINER

Deborah K. Ware

Art Unit 1651

Feburary 13, 2001